EXHIBIT 54

		1	
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
3			
4	X		
5	In Re:		
6	BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT Adv.Pro.No. SECURITIES LLC, 08-01789(BRL)		
7	Debtor.		
8	IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the		
9	Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,		
10	Plaintiff, Adv.Pro.No.		
11	09-1182(BRL) v.		
12	J. EZRA MERKIN, GABRIEL CAPITAL,		
13	L.P., ARIEL FUND LTD., ASCOT PARTNERS, L.P., GABRIEL CAPITAL CORPORATION,		
14	Defendants.		
15	x		
16			
17	DEPOSITION of JACK N. MAYER, as taken		
18	by and before NANCY C. BENDISH, Certified Court		
19	Reporter, RMR, CRR and Notary Public of the States		
20	of New York and New Jersey, at the offices of		
21	BAKER & HOSTETLER, 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New York,		
22	New York on Tuesday, October 11, 2011, commencing at		
23	10 a.m.		
24			
25			

		64	
1	number 3, the 1998 opinion, you did not understand		
2	in 1998 that that was in effect until the appeals		
3	had been finished?		
4	MR. STEINER: Objection.		
5	A. Correct.		
6	Q. Can you tell me each instance, each		
7	conversation you've had with Mr. Teicher in which		
8	there is a discussion of concerns with Mr. Madoff?		
9	A. I can't.		
10	Q. Do you recall any such conversations?		
11	A. Yes.		
12	Q. Can you tell me the ones you do		
13	remember.		
14	A. I remember generally an initial one,		
15	in which the subject came up, and in which he		
16	expressed the concern that it could be a Ponzi		
17	scheme.		
18	Q. Do you remember when that took place,		
19	that conversation?		
20	A. My general recollection is sometime		
21	in the early '90s.		
22	Q. So before he went to prison?		
23	A. Yes.		
24	Q. Was Mr. Merkin involved in that		
25	conversation as well?		

			65
1	Α.	My recollection is yes.	
2	Q.	And you do remember him using the	
3	phrase "Ponzi	scheme"?	
4	Α.	Yes.	
5	Q.	Was there any discussion as to what	
6	he meant by that?		
7	Α.	No.	
8	Q.	What's your understanding of why he	
9	used the phras	e "Ponzi scheme"?	
10		MR. VINEGRAD: What's the question?	
11	Q.	What's your understanding of why he	
12	used that phra	se?	
13		MR. VINEGRAD: You can answer.	
14	Α.	Because that's what he thought it	
15	was, or could	be.	
16	Q.	Why did he say that he thought it	
17	could be that?		
18		MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
19	Α.	I recall him referring to the	
20	steadiness of	the returns and their size.	
21	Q.	So he was aware of the returns that	
22	Madoff purport	ed to provide?	
23		MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
24		MR. VINEGRAD: "He" meaning	
25	Mr. Teicher?		

PICARD v. MERKIN

JACK N. MAYER 10/11/11

		131
1	this time for the receiver for Ascot but we reserve	
2	rights as well.	
3	MR. STEINER: And none at this time	
4	for Mr. Merkin or GCC.	
5	(Deposition adjourned 1:15 p.m.)	
6	-000-	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		